

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/649,383	08/26/2003	Charles Lee Asplin	ASPL-009	6072
759	90 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Curtis V. Harr			HARTMANN, GARY S	
P.O. Box 2842 Fargo, ND 58108-2842			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 ango, 14D 30	100 20 12		3671	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\wedge (
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	101
	10/649,383	ASPLIN, CHARLES L	E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Hartmann	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/3. 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,383

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it refers to the purported merits of the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fershtut (U.S. Patent 6,068,425).

Fershtut discloses mixing lime and liquid into a viscous sludge (not labeled) and cutting a plurality of holes (30, for example) in a settled slab. The sludge is pumped under the slab through the holes (Figure 9, for example) such that the leveling of the slab is controlled by the pumping of the sludge. The holes are repaired.

Note that the recitations directed specifically toward sand and air are met because the sludge of Fershtut includes both sand air.

Regarding claim 8, note that, given voids along the sides of the slab, the step would inherently be met by the process of Fershtut.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,383

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fershtut, as applied above.

Regarding claim 2, the inorganic salts are well known to use with cement (for example, calcium chloride is a well known cement accelerator). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used one or more of these inorganic salts with Fershtut in order to obtain a desired structural characteristic.

Regarding the limitation of repairing the holes to match the appearance of the slab, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have repaired the holes of Fershtut in this manner in order to increase safety, reduce noise in vehicles traveling over the slab or for aesthetic purposes, for example.

The slab is concrete.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671